

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Biomedical Device Consultants &
Laboratories Of Colorado, LLC

Plaintiff,

vs.

ViVitro Labs, Inc.

Defendant.

CASE NO: 2:23-CV-04291-HDV

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S *EX PARTE* APPLICATION
FOR EXPEDITED HEARING DATE FOR
PENDING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION**

Date:
Time:
Courtroom:

1 This matter is before the Court upon Plaintiff, Biomedical Device Consultants &
 2 Laboratories of Colorado, LLC's ("BDC") *Ex Parte* Application for Expedited Hearing
 3 Date for Pending Motion for Preliminary Injunction. *Ex parte* applications are granted
 4 upon an adequate showing of good cause or irreparable injury to the party seeking relief.
 5 *Clark v. Time Warner Cable*, No. CV 07-1797-VBF(RCx), 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS
 6 100716, at *2 (C.D. Cal. May 3, 2007); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(c)(1)(C). I find that BDC has
 7 shown good cause, and irreparable harm, if the hearing on its motion for preliminary
 8 injunction is delayed until October.

9 It is hereby ORDERED that the following dates be adopted:

- 10 • Defendant's Opposition to the Motion for Preliminary Injunction shall
 11 be filed no later than _____.
- 12 • Plaintiff's Reply in Support of its Motion for Preliminary Injunction
 13 shall be filed no later than _____.
- 14 • The Court shall hold an evidentiary hearing on the Motion starting at
 15 _____ on, in Courtroom 5B, 5th Floor of the United States
 16 Courthouse, 350 West 1st Street, Los Angeles, California.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
 19 Dated: _____, 2023

20 _____
 21 Hon. Hernán D. Vera
 22 U.S. District Court Judge
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28